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Corruption Proofing of Legislation
Scope

Prioritising laws

Timing

Entity in charge

Sources

Report

Compliance

Online publicity
Risks: examples

- Regulatory gaps
- Lack of or unclear administrative procedures
- Lack of procurement procedures
Methodology

What are we looking for?

⇒ Regulatory corruption risks

How are we looking for risks?

⇒ Process
RISK CATEGORY I: AMBIGUITY

Language

Legal coherence
RISK CATEGORY II: PREVENTION GAPS

- Public laws
  o Competencies
  o Powers and resources
  o Procedures
  o Decisions

- Private laws
  o Oversight
  o Sanctions
  o Judicial review
- Addendum: Corrupted Legislation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legislative process</th>
<th>Laws</th>
<th>Corruption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bribery</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bribery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflicts of interest etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conflicts of interest etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


“Ten Principles of Effective Corruption Proofing”

1 – Scope
2 – Prioritisation
3 – Regulatory corruption risks
4 – Timing
5 – Responsible entity
6 – Recommendations
7 – Compliance
8 – Online publicity
9 – Broader framework on transparency and integrity
10 – Training and public awareness